



1. RAPID DEPLOYMENT

- The ability to deliver a Matrix Game quickly is seductive.

- Just because you can do it doesn't mean it is fit for purpose.

2. THE CONCEPT IS EASILY GRASPED BY NEWCOMERS

- But this risks over-use ("If all you have is a hammer")

- And therefore mis-application



3. COMMON MATRIX GAME ADJUDICATION METHODS ARE VULNERABLE

- Argument-based adjudication requires appropriate subject-matter knowledge

- Argument based adjudication is vulnerable to quick-thinking, charismatic, forceful or just loud disputants. *Strength of the arguer is not strength of the argument*

- Voting methods are fragile (you can't repeal the law of gravity by voting)
- Voting methods can encourage false confirmation.

- Uncritical use of dice, probability or risk assessments can obscure critical determining factors.



3

4. FACILITATION ITSELF CAN HAVE UNINTENDED INFLUENCE

- Charismatic or dominant facilitation can lead the game down pre-determined routes favoured by the facilitator, potentially invalidating player involvement.

- Framing the wording of a vote by the facilitator can misdirect or obscure an issue.

- Handwaving Adjudication (HWA) by a skilled facilitator can create the illusion of rigour.



5

5. GETTING THE RIGHT PEOPLE IN THE ROOM

- Uninformed participants will struggle to generate meaningful outcomes and relevant or insightful actions.

- There is a risk of confusing the outcome of the game with a genuine insight, especially with a non-expert group of uninformed players.

- Well informed participants without diversity of thought in the room risk perpetuating cognitive errors such as confirmation bias etc.

- Seniors in the room can stifle creative or insightful game play, and HIPPOs and CORGIs can run amok!







